

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unipe.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/008,241 11/08/2001 Ralf Otremba WMP-IFT618 6971 7590 11/12/2003 EXAMINER LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. MCCAMEY, ANN M Post Office Box 2480 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

2833 DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	:A.C.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/008,241	OTREMBA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ann M McCamey	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edements of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the state of the provision of 37 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for reply is periodical above, the maximum statutory period will expire state on the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply wit		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 C</u>	October 2003 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 11</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 9</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	• •	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/008,241

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art ("A.A.P.A.") in view of Krasser et al. (US 5,491,460).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, A.A.P.A. discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a semiconductor unit having: a housing having a first side face; and at least two terminal pins protruding out of said first side face of the housing (Specification, Page 1, Lines12-20). A.A.P.A. fails to teach an isolation barrier formed between the terminal pins. Krasser et al. teach an isolation barrier 22 formed between two terminal pins 6, 7 (Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an isolation barrier to provide electrica shielding of the terminals from one another (Column 7, Lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 2, Krasser at al. teach said isolation barrier being integrally formed onto said housing (Column 6, Line 57).

Application/Control Number: 10/008,241

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Krasser at al. teach said first side face having a first edge and a second edge opposite said first edge; and said isolation barrier being a plate extending on said first side face from said first edge to said second edge.

Regarding claim 6, Krasser at al. teach the housing having at least one side wall (the wall that terminals protrude from) that together with said isolation barrier encloses said two terminals from at least three sides.

Regarding claim 7, Krasser at al. teach at least two terminal pins protruding out of said housing next to each other in a row (two elements will always be in a row).

Regarding claim 8, Krasser at al. teach said first side face having a first edge and a second edge opposite said first edge; a first one of said terminal pins being located closer to said first edge than a second one of said two terminal pins; and said second one of said two terminal pins being located closer to said second edge that said first one of said two terminal pins (pins are asymmetrical with respect to the T-shaped isolation barrier).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the limitations of the two terminal pins protruding beyond the isolation barrier

Art Unit: 2833

(claim 5) and one of the terminal pins being surrounded from four sides (claim 9) is

neither taught by nor obvious over the art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ann M McCamey whose telephone number is (703)

305-3422. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

AMM

October 31, 2003

RENEE LUEBKE

PRIMARY EXAMINER